wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
R	odolfo Ordaz-Amador	Case Number:	07-7367M	
present and w			g was held on January 3, 2008. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:			
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charg	efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant conta	endant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	he defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Se d in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendation of condition or combination of condition of conditions are serious risk that the defendation of conditions are serious risk that	ant will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the custody of the custody of the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of the comments of an attorney for the he United States Marshal for the purpose	ne Attorney General or his, from persons awaiting or se opportunity for private cons Government, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a coun or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this o	detention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consid the District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE:1	/3/2008		id K. Duncan tes Magistrate Judge	